



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,668

10/04/2007

Hui Li

2080.1012

6969

21171 7590 07/21/2009  
STAAS & HALSEY LLP  
SUITE 700  
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

KELLEY, STEVEN SHAUN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/21/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/577,668	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEVEN KELLEY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-4-07.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-1-06</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 14-15, 17, 19, 23-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent 7,215,659 to Chen (Hereinafter "Chen").

Regarding claim 14, Chen teaches a method for operating first and second radio communication systems (WLAN network in global access area 128 and Bluetooth

Art Unit: 2617

network in access area 118, first and second systems respectively) incorporating a plurality of radio stations and having radio coverage areas that overlap at least in part (see Figs. 1A-1C), comprising: sending a message by the first radio communication system to at least some of the radio stations of the second radio communication system with instructions for organizing communication within the second radio communication system (see step 514 in Fig. 5, which teaches a global access point (such as WLAN AP 120) “Transmit global signals”, to the remotely-cooperative scheduling (RCS) control point (CP) 150 (recited radio station of the second radio network) after the global access point has received local timing signals (step 508) and has adjusted and synchronized timing on global (WLAN) and local (Bluetooth) networks steps 510 and 512, see also column 23, which teaches the processes of the WLAN AP 120); and instructing at least one radio station of the second radio communication system, via the message from the first radio communication system, to send information contained in the message concerning the organization of communication within the second radio communication system to other radio stations of the second radio communication system (see steps 410-414 in Fig. 4, which teach that the remotely-cooperative scheduling (RCS) control point (CP) 150 (which operates on a local Bluetooth network area 118, recited second network) receives the global timing signals from WLAN AP 120 (step 410) and schedules the timing of local devices (such as devices 112, recited “other radio stations of the second radio communication network) based on the available time periods identified in the received global signals information (steps 412 and 414). Regarding the recited “instructing, via the message, to send”, as the RCS CP 150 inherently distributes

Art Unit: 2617

the timing information received from the WLAN AP 120 (see steps 412 and 414 after “receiving global signals” in step 410), the reception of this global timing map (recited message) inherently causes (“instructs, via the message”) the RCS CP 150 to transmit or “send” the information, as recited. In other words, the RCS CP 150 is inherently preconfigured to send (“instructed to send”) the timing information received from WLAN AP 120, in response to receiving the message (“via the message”).

If the recited “instructing, via the message, to send”, is not found to be inherently performed by Chen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen to perform this feature, as the timing signals included in the received global message are necessary for coordination of the local Bluetooth network.

Regarding claim 15, which recites “wherein the instructions relate to timing specifications for communication within the second radio communication system”, the global signals transmitted from WLAN AP 120 to RCS 150 “relate to timing”, as recited.

Regarding claim 17, which recites “wherein a time for sending the information concerning the organization is communicated to the at least one radio station via the message from the first radio communication system”, the recited “time for sending” is any “free time period” (any time/frequency block without WLAN communication) shown in the global timing message in Fig. 3C, as the RCS CP 150 will not transmit information to Bluetooth devices 112 during WLAN communications.

Regarding claim 19, which recites “wherein the information concerning the

Art Unit: 2617

organization relates to the assignment of radio resources of a period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a centrally controlled radio access method to at least one radio station of the second radio communication system”, as shown in Fig. 3C, the “time periods for communication within the second radio communication system” within the frequency bands are “controlled” by the global WLAN AP 120, which is “centrally controlled”, as recited.

Regarding claim 23, which recites “wherein a device of the first radio communication system creates the instructions depending on information about at least one of radio stations and radio resources of the second radio communication system”, the global signals created and transmitted from WLAN AP 120 to RCS CP 150 are “created depending on information about (the timing of transmissions) of the radio stations”, as recited.

Regarding claim 24, teaches a device in a first radio communication, comprising: means for storing information about at least one of radio stations and radio resources of a second radio communication system having a plurality of radio stations (see global synchronization component 254 in Fig. 2B and described in column 15, lines 58-61); means for creating a message with instructions for organizing communication within the second radio communication system, the message instructing at least one radio station of the second radio communication system to send information contained in the message concerning organization of communication within the second radio communication system to other radio stations of the second radio communication

Art Unit: 2617

system (see global timing map generator 256 in Fig. 2B and described in column 15, lines 61-65, where reception of the “message” in the RCS CP 150 inherently “instructs the RCS CP 150 to send” as recited); and means for transmitting the message to the subset of radio stations of the second radio communication system (see global transmitting component 240 in Fig. 2B, used to transmit the global timing map to the local RCS CP 150, see also step 514 in Fig. 5).

Regarding the recited “means for selecting a subset of the radio stations of the second radio communication system” as the WLAN AP 120 receives the local timing map from RCS CP 150 and transmits the global map to RCS CP 150 without transmitting the global map to any Bluetooth devices 112, and Chen repeatedly teaches (see column 11, lines 34-41) that the WLAN AP 120 “recognizes the RCS CP 150 as a WLAN device”, the WLAN AP 120 inherently “selects a subset of the radio stations of the second radio communication system”, where the “subset” of the radios stations is the RCS CP 150.

If this “means for selecting a subset” is not found to be inherently performed in the (global receiving/transmitting components 230/240 within) WLAN AP 120 of Chen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen to select (to transmit the global timing maps only to) a subset of the devices in the second network, where the subset is “master devices” (such as the RCS CP 150) and not transmit the global maps to “slave devices” (such as the Bluetooth devices), as it is the function of the master device to control the slave devices.

Regarding claim 26, which recites “wherein, via the message created by the device, a time for transmitting the information concerning the organization is communicated to the at least one radio station”, the recited “time for sending” is any “free time period” (any unoccupied time/frequency block) shown in the global timing message in Fig. 3C.

4. Claims 16, 18, 20-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of U.S. Patent 7,277,412 to Sugaya et al. (hereinafter “Sugaya”).

Regarding claim 16, which recites “wherein the instructions relate to at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a centrally controlled radio access method and at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a decentrally controlled radio access method”, the global WLAN timing signals (as shown in Fig. 3C) of Chen are “centrally controlled radio access methods” as recited, as even though the time periods for WLAN communication are “in” the first network, these same time periods are also periods of time “within the second radio communication system”. Although any time period that does not have WLAN communications scheduled (as shown in Fig. 3C) in the global timing map could be broadly interpreted to be “at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system



Art Unit: 2617

based on a decentrally controlled radio access method”, Sugaya is added for completeness to show this feature. It is also noted that “centrally” and “decentrally” are relative terms. For example, locally controlled slave devices may be considered to be centrally controlled relative to a second (local) network, however as these devices are not controlled by global network, so they may be considered as decentrally controlled relative to the first (global) network.

In an analogous art, Sugaya teaches a communications system which allows a plurality of wireless networks to (coexist and) communicate using a same frequency band (see Summary of Invention in column 4) which provides channel slots for each network in a TDMA space. Sugaya teaches/shows in Fig. 2 (as described in columns 8-9) a “beacon signal”, followed by a “contention access period” (CAP), followed by a “contention free period” (CFP). As a “contention period” is not centrally controlled (i.e. devices “contend for communications”), the “contention access period” which follows the beacon signal is “at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a decentrally controlled radio access method”, and the contention free period (which includes dedicated periods for communication which must be scheduled by some central device) is a period of time that is centrally controlled, as recited.

Therefore, as Chen also teaches (see column 2, lines 39-50, “connecting devices in peer-to-peer networks” which communicate “without a dedicated administrative server or master device”) “decentrally controlled” peer-to-peer networks, and Sugaya teaches the conventionality of providing timing signals for a plurality of networks (which include

Art Unit: 2617

both centrally and decentrally controlled periods as recited), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the scheduling of Chen to transmit signals as described in Sugaya, in order to schedule both “central and decentrally controlled periods”, as is conventional.

Regarding claim 18, which recites “wherein the information concerning the organization relates to at least one of the timing of at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a centrally controlled radio access method and the timing of at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a decentrally controlled radio access method”, as described above, see the time periods in Fig. 2 of Sugaya, where the contention access period is the recited “decentrally controlled time period” and the contention free period is the “centrally controlled time period”, as recited.

Regarding claim 20, which recites “wherein the information concerning the organization relates to at least one time for at least one of future transmission of information concerning the organization of communication within the second radio communication system by at least one radio station of the second radio communication system and future transmission of a message with instructions concerning the organization of communication within the second radio communication system by the first radio communication system”, as described above in the rejection of claim 17, the recited “future transmission of information concerning” is any “free time period” (any time/frequency block without WLAN communication) shown in the global timing

Art Unit: 2617

message in Fig. 3C of Chen and transmitting the beacon signal of Sugaya (from the WLAN AP 120 of Chen) reads on the recited “future transmission of a message with instructions concerning the organization of communication within the second radio communication system by the first radio communication system”.

Regarding claim 21, which recites “wherein, based on the instructions of the first radio communication system for organizing communication within the second radio communication system, said method is performed in sequence as follows: transmitting during a first period of time information by at least one radio station of the second radio communication system concerning the organization of subsequent communication within the second radio communication system; communicating during a second period of time within the second radio communication system based on a decentrally controlled radio access method; and communicating during a third period of time within the second radio communication system based on a centrally controlled radio access method”, Sugaya teaches (as shown in Fig. 2) these three time periods as recited, where the beacon signal (which includes the network/device scheduling information, see also Fig. 7) is transmitted in the recited first time period, during the contention access period (recited second time period) “decentrally controlled” communications occur, and during the contention free period “centrally controlled” communications occur, as recited.

Regarding claim 22, which recites “wherein common frequency radio resources are available to the first and the second radio communication systems, wherein the first period of time is preceded by sending the message of the first radio communication

Art Unit: 2617

system with instructions for organizing communication within the second radio communication system, and wherein the third period of time is followed by communicating within the first radio communication system during a fourth period of time”, the time/frequency blocks (shown in Fig. 3C) are resources “available to the first and the second radio communication systems” as recited. As described above, a transmission of the global timing map and the beacon signal (as taught by Sugaya) sent from the WLAN AP 120 of Chen, which occurs periodically, would be transmitted both “before the first time period and after the third time period”, as recited.

Regarding claim 25, which recites “wherein the instructions in the message created by the device relate to at least one of timing specifications for communication within the second radio communication system, at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a centrally controlled radio access method and at least one period of time for communication within the second radio communication system based on a decentrally controlled radio access method”, as described above, see the time periods in Fig. 2 of Sugaya, where the contention access period is the recited “decentrally controlled time period” and the contention free period is the “centrally controlled time period”, as recited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Kelley whose telephone number is (571) 272-5652. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9AM to 5PM.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SSK/

/Lester Kincaid/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617